

The Orissa Gazette



EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 640 CUTTACK, MONDAY, MARCH 17, 2008 / FALGUNA 27, 1929

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 28th February 2008

No. 2513—li/1(SS)-1/2003-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 19th February 2008 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 23 of 2003 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of General Manager (P. & A.), SAIL, Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela and Deputy General Secretary, Rourkela, Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha, Bisra Road, Rourkela was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :—

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 23 OF 2003

Dated the 19th February 2008

Present :

Shri Srikant Nayak, o.s.j.s. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela.

Between :

The General Manager (P. & A.) . . . First Party—Management
SAIL, Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela.

And

Deputy General Secretary . . . Second Party—Workmen
Rourkela, Mazdoor Sabha,
Bisra Road, Rourkela.

Appearances :

For the First Party—Management	.. None
For the Second Party—Workmen	.. None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department, in exercise of their power conferred under sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, have referred the following dispute vide Order No. 8252—li-1(SS)-1/2003-L.E., dated the 23rd August 2003 for adjudication :—

“Whether the demand of the Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha, Rourkela for stepping up basic pay of S/Shri B. D. Sahu (Pl. No. 39787), P. K. Satpathy (Pl. No. 38329) and R. C. Pratihari (Pl. No. 40332) at par with Shri S. C. Nayak (Pl. No. 22102) with effect from the 1st January 1992 with all consequential financial benefits by the management of Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela is legal and/or justified ? If, so what should be the details ?”

2. The case is posted today for hearing. But today both the parties have remained absent before this Tribunal on calls. No prayer for adjournment has been made. This shows that, they are not interested to contest the case. Accordingly it can well be presumed that at present there is no dispute between the parties or they have amicably settled the dispute out side the Tribunal. In the premises No Dispute Award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

SRIKANT NAYAK

19-2-2008

Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

SRIKANT NAYAK

19-2-2008

Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

By order of the Governor

K. TRIPATHY

Under-Secretary to Government